

Clean-CORBA Interface for Parallel Functional Programming on Clusters ^{*}

Zoltán Horváth, Zoltán Varga, Viktória Zsók

Department of General Computer Science
University of Eötvös Loránd, Budapest
e-mail: hz@inf.elte.hu, Zoltan.2.Varga@nokia.com, zsv@inf.elte.hu

Abstract. The presented Clean-CORBA interface opens the way for developing parallel and distributed applications consisting of components written in a functional programming language, Clean. The interface defines a language mapping from the IDL language used by CORBA to Clean. It contains an IDL-to-Clean compiler which generates the necessary stub and skeleton routines from the IDL files. The interface is a general tool for connecting functional Clean programs and programs written in any language using a CORBA interface via the network.

We focus on a specific application of this tool in this paper, we build a software architecture for programming clusters using the functional programming language Clean. We design and implement an abstract communication layer based on CORBA server objects. Using this architecture we can build up applications consisting components written in several programming languages, some components written in pure functional style in Clean, while other components written in an object-oriented language like Java or C#.

Based on this software architecture the field of skeletal programming is studied, which suits very well with the functional programming. A skeleton for pipeline computing is chosen as an example to present the main features of this approach.

1 Introduction

One of the easiest way to provide powerful infrastructure for parallel and distributed computing is to build a cluster and interconnect clusters via the internet into a Grid.

Less work was done yet for adapting functional programming languages to the possibilities offered by clusters [5, 13]. Our intention is to test and to verify how a functional programming language fits into the parallel programming framework offered by clusters [8, 5].

Functional programming is very suitable for expressing parallelism. Composition of functions is an associative operation, so evaluation of functional programs

^{*} Supported by the Hungarian National Science Research Grant (OTKA), Grant No. T037742 and by IKTA 89/2002 (JiniGrid)

can be done in parallel or distributed way. So functional programs are inherently parallel but the evaluation in parallel of an expression is not always worthwhile.

There are several elements of functional programming languages which support to control parallel and distributed evaluation [9, 11, 7], and communication. These solutions are different in efficiency and in power of expressiveness and require different hardware and software infrastructure. Evaluation strategies [12, 6] may be applied in parallel computations separating dynamic evaluation issues from static requirements. The Haskell language has several dialects with parallel features: GpH [8], pH [10], Eden [4], Distributed Haskell with Ports [7]. A skeleton is a parameterised algorithmic scheme. Skeletons in functional languages are higher order functions parameterised by functions, types and evaluation strategies. Evaluation strategies are appropriate tools in order to control the evaluation degree, the dynamic behaviour and the parallelism. A higher degree of abstraction level expressing parallelism can be achieved by parameterising skeletons with evaluation strategies. There were several studies regarding skeletons [3, 12] from the apparently very simple but very useful skeleton `parmap`, to the more complex skeletons like the parallel elementwise processing [6].

Functional programs can also be developed and tested on cluster systems. The first study was the comparison of the GpH and the Eden languages regarding their performances [8]. The GpH and Eden comparison was done on a Beowulf cluster, however the Clean functional language and applications consisting of both functional and imperative components up to now has not been tested for parallelism on a cluster system.

Language elements of Concurrent Clean are described in [9, 11]. In the present implementation a Clean-CORBA interface [13] is used as an infrastructure for parallel communication. The interface implements a language mapping from Clean to IDL. The present work examines the way of expressing parallel computations using the Clean lazy functional programming language on a cluster. Our Clean-CORBA interface uses the MICO CORBA implementation and allows to write CORBA clients and servers in the lazy functional programming language Clean.

We have chosen an implementation of the skeleton of pipeline computation as an example in this paper to present the main features of our approach.

Section 2 describes the Clean-CORBA interface. The mapping from the CORBA IDL to the Clean functional language is described according to the language elements.

The third section presents an implementation of asynchronous communication channel, which can be used for connecting Clean programs and other programs in a cluster environment.

The pipeline skeleton is very suitable for the computation of functions which can be built by the composition of small components, for the detailed specification of the problem see the fourth section.

The last section (section 5) concludes.

2 Clean-CORBA interface

2.1 Overview of the interface

To access CORBA from a programming language a language mapping for the particular language is needed. This mapping should contain the following elements: an IDL module mapping to the specific language, the simple and composed types of IDL association with the types of the language, the projections of the definitions and operations of the IDL interface, the implementation of services offered by the CORBA server and of the pseudo-objects of the CORBA into the language.

The identifiers of the IDL are the same in Clean, the names of the modules are included in the identifiers. The different integer types are associated with the `Int` type of Clean, in the same way the real types are projected into the `Real` type of the Clean language. The most interesting is the `TypeCode`, which gives us information about the IDL types during runtime. The most complex implementation is for the type `Any` and for the union. The enumeration type corresponds to the algebraic type in Clean. The structures are connected with records, constants are functions without parameters, the sequences and arrays are lists. The operations are associated with functions, CORBA objects with records. For communication through TCP ports and for IP identification the services of MICO Binder are used.

2.2 Mapping of identifiers

A CORBA identifier is mapped to the Clean identifier with the same name. Identifiers within modules are mapped to the fully qualified name with the `::` symbols replaced with `_` symbols, thus:

```
foo                -> foo
CORBA :: Object -> CORBA_Object
```

2.3 Mapping of types

Basic types. The mapping for basic types is as follows:

```
short  -> Int      long   -> Int      ushort -> Int
ulong  -> Int      float  -> Real    double -> Real
char   -> Char    octet  -> Int      boolean -> Boolean
string -> String
```

Other types (`long long`, `fixed` etc.) are not supported. The limited range of the `Int` type may present problems if large integer values are exchanged between clients and servers.

Enumerated types. IDL Enums are mapped to simple algebraic types. For example

```
enum Color { Red, Green, Blue }; maps to
```

```
:: Color = Red | Green | Blue
```

Structures. IDL Structures are mapped to Clean records. The field names remain the same. For example:

```
struct Foo {
short m1;
long m2;};
```

maps to

```
:: Foo = {
    m1 :: Int,
    m2 :: Int
}
```

If the structure contains an 'anonymous' field (like `sequence <long> m3`), then the IDL compiler will create a new Clean type (in this case `Foo__m3`), and this will be the type of the corresponding field in the Clean record. Recursive structures and unions are supported too.

Unions. IDL unions map to Clean algebraic data types, with one data constructor for each legal discriminator value. For example:

```
union Glorp switch (short) {
case 0:    short m1;
case 1:    char m2;
default:   string m3;    };
```

maps to

```
:: Glorp = Glorp_0 Int
          | Glorp_1 Char
          | Glorp__default Int String
```

This mapping can't handle discriminators of type 'char'. Thus type 'char' is not currently supported as a discriminator type. An alternative mapping would be

```
:: Glorp=Glorp_m1 Int | Glorp_m2 Char | Glorp__default
Int String.
```

Sequences and Arrays. IDL sequences map to Clean lists. For example:

```
typedef sequence<long> LongList; maps to LongList ::= [Int].
```

2.4 Mapping of constants

IDL constants are mapped to Clean constants. For example:

```
const long THE_ANSWER = 42;
const double PI = 3.14159;
```

maps to

```
THE_ANSWER :: Int
THE_ANSWER = 42
PI :: Real
PI = 3.14159
```

2.5 Interfaces

IDL Interfaces map to abstract Clean types, which contain the object reference in their hidden parts. Each interface type has a corresponding `<T>__nil` function which returns a NIL object reference of the given type. Conversions between interface types are supported through `<T>__narrow` and `<T>__widen` functions generated by the IDL compiler.

2.6 Operations

Each IDL operation maps to a Clean function which performs the CORBA call. As an example we present here the `Account` interface:

```
interface Account {
    void deposit(in long amount);
    void withdraw(in long amount);
    long balance();
};
```

the following functions are generated:

```
Account_deposit :: Account CORBA_Long *World
-> ((ResultOrException CORBA_Void CORBAException), *World)
Account_withdraw :: Account CORBA_Long *World
-> ((ResultOrException CORBA_Void CORBAException), *World)
Account_balance :: Account *World
-> ((ResultOrException CORBA_Long CORBAException), *World)
```

The first argument of each function is the receiver CORBA object. Since these functions have side effects, they both take and return a unique `World` argument. The `ResultOrException` type is similar to the `Either` type:

```
:: Either a b = First a | Second b
```

If the IDL operation has `out` or `inout` arguments, the functions return them, too:

```
Account_balance2 :: Account *World
->(((ResultOrException (CORBA_Void,CORBA_Long)
    CORBAException), *World))
```

For each IDL attribute, the IDL compiler will generate both a getter and a setter function. The corresponding Clean code is:

```
Account__get_balance3 :: Account *World
-> ((ResultOrException CORBA_Long CORBAException), *World)
Account__set_balance3 :: Account CORBA_Long *World
-> ((ResultOrException CORBA_Void CORBAException), *World)
```

2.7 The TypeCode and Any types

These types are mapped to algebraic types. Their definition is in the `CORBA.dcl` file.

Dynamic Invocation Interface. The DII is supported through the following function:

```
CORBA_invoke :: CORBA_Object String [CORBAArg] TypeCode
[TypeCode]
*World -> (Any, CORBAException, [CORBAArg], *World)
```

The meaning of the arguments:

- The first argument is the target CORBA object.
- The second argument is the name of the operation.
- The third argument is a list of the arguments.
- The fourth argument is the return type of the operation.
- The fifth argument contains the typecodes of IDL exceptions, which can be raised by the operation.
- The sixth argument is the old World.

The result is a tuple with the following parts:

- the return value of the operation,
- the exception raised by the operation, if any (the returned value is `NoException`, if there is no exception),
- the value of the `out` and `inout` arguments,
- the new World.

2.8 Server side mapping

The server side mapping uses a simplified version of the Object IO framework [1]. The IDL compiler generates servant for each IDL interface. A servant is a record type with one field for each IDL operation in the interface. The programmer must create an instance of this servant type, and register it with the system before it can answer CORBA requests.

2.9 The implementation

As said earlier, this package consists of a CORBA-CLEAN interface library, and an IDL-TO-CLEAN compiler. The interface library consists of three layers:

1. The lowest layer is a collection of C functions giving access to CORBA functionality.
2. The middle layer simply consists of Clean wrapper functions around the C functions in the previous layer.
3. The third layer contains the high level interface described in the previous sections.

The implementation uses CORBA DII and DSI for communication, similarly to the MICO-TCL interface software TclMico.

The IDL compiler works by first uploading the contents of the IDL file into a CORBA Interface Repository daemon, then reading this data using normal CORBA calls into an intermediate representation, and finally generating Clean code.

3 The implementation of a channel object

Many problems can be viewed as networks of message-communicating processes, therefore it is very useful to implement them efficiently.

To interconnect processes or distributed programs we need to implement channels with communication primitives. We have implemented operations for asynchronous message passing using CORBA server objects. We store the messages in the local state of the server.

The program has to import the `channel` interface, which defines the channel operations. The program also has to import the Clean standard environment and the `Corba` package. These are the basic modules for our Clean-CORBA interface.

The initialisation of the CORBA system uses the `CORBA_ORB_init` function, which returns a `CORBA_ORB` object. `CORBA_Server_run` initialises the CORBA server.

In our model the channel initialises the ORB and starts a CORBA event handler. By the `ServerInit` we create a servant, which will be registered by the ORB. `ServerInit` transforms the general object reference into the desired type. The event handler system will assure that the requests of the clients are passed to the servant objects.

```
Start w
  # (orb,_,w) = CORBA_ORB_init args w
  = CORBA_Server_run orb Void ServerInit w
where
  ServerInit ps w
  # (obj, ps, w) = Channel__servant_open ps servant w
  # w
```

```

    = WriteIORToFile (CORBA_Server_get_orb ps) obj
      "channel.ior" w
  = (ps, w)
servant = { Channel__servant |
            ls                = h,
            impl_send         = my_send,
            impl_receive      = my_receive,
            impl_empty        = my_empty,
            impl_full         = my_full
          }
my_send (ls, ps) what w
  = ((ls ++ [what], ps), Result Void , w)
my_receive ([x:xs], ps) w
  = ((xs, ps), Result x, w)
my_empty (ls, ps) w
  = ((ls, ps), Result (empty ls), w)
my_full (ls, ps) w
  = ((ls, ps), Result (full ls), w)

```

`Channel__servant_open` registers the servant at the IO system. The servant defines the operations of the channel. These operations are state transition functions, which modifies the local state of the channel (`ls`). The `h` is the sequence containing the elements of the channel. The function `my_send` is the implementation of the channel operation `send` and adds to the sequence an element sent by the client. The `my_receive` function implements the channel function `receive` and sends to the client one data from the sequence. The function `my_empty` is true if the sequence is empty and `my_full` is true if the sequence is full.

4 The pipeline skeleton

The pipeline skeleton is a special type of process network usually applied for calculating a composite function. The processes are organised linearly. A processes running on a pipeline element calculates a component function and sends intermediate results to its immediate successor. The data input is processed at the beginning of the pipeline.

We consider a simple description of the pipeline problem [2].

Let $D = \ll d_0, d_1, \dots, d_M \gg$ be a sequence of data, where $M \gg N$, and let $F = \ll f_0, f_1, \dots, f_N \gg$ be a sequence of functions.

Let $f^i(x)$ denote $f_i(f_{i-1}(\dots f_0(x)\dots))$; we assume that $f^i(x)$ is defined for all i , $0 \leq i \leq N$ and all x in D .

We compute the sequence $f^N(D)$, where $f^N(D) = \ll f^N(d_0), \dots, f^N(d_M) \gg$.

The pipeline problem is implemented in the following form: the Clean programs are Corba-clients and calculate the components of F .

The computation can be parameterised by the component function f_i and by the type of its argument (skeleton). The send and receive functions are im-

plemented by the abstract channel CORBA server, the object presented in the previous section.

For sending data on the channel we have the following function:

```
sendf x obj w
# (Result l, w) = Channel_full obj w
| l           = sendf x obj w
= Channel_send obj (f x) w
```

The function checks if the sequence of data is full. In case is full will try again, in case it is not full will send the data to the server object. For receiving data we have the following function:

```
receivef obj w
# (Result l, w) = Channel_empty obj w
| l           = receivef obj w
= Channel_receive obj w
```

The function verifies if the sequence is empty. If it is empty then will try again, otherwise receives a data from the server.

As an example we compute $\sin(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i * \frac{x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!}$. For this we use the following data structure: $d = (xx : R, s : R, e : \{1, -1\}, h : R)$. The function $\sin(x) \approx \sin_n \circ \dots \circ \sin_0(x)$, where $\sin_0(x) = (x^2, x, -1, x)$

$$\sin_i(d) = (d.xx, d.s + d.e * d.h * \frac{d.xx}{(2i)*(2i+1)}, d.e * (-1), d.h * \frac{d.xx}{(2i)*(2i+1)})$$

$$\sin_n(d) = d.s + d.e * d.h * \frac{d.xx}{(2n)*(2n+1)}$$

The following lemma can be proved:

$$f^i(x) = f_i \circ \dots \circ f_0(x) = (x^2, \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j * \frac{x^{2j+1}}{(2j+1)!}, (-1)^{i+1}, \frac{x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!})$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$.

According to the lemma the pipeline skeleton will produce a correct result.

5 Conclusions

The implemented Clean-CORBA interface was presented by a pipeline problem. The interface allows us to use the Clean lazy functional language on a cluster. The server-client communication interface is assured by the CORBA stubs instantiation and it is converted to Clean code. The operation of the interface can be described in an `idl` file using the CORBA IDL language then the `idl2clean` transforms it into Clean program. The novelty of this CORBA-Clean interface consists in the connection of a functional language with CORBA. This interface opens us the possibility of implementing a wide range of parallel programming problems in a functional language in a cluster environment.

References

1. Achten, P., Wierich, M.: *A Tutorial to the Clean Object I/O Library*, University of Nijmegen, 2000, <http://www.cs.kun.nl/~clean>.
2. Chandy, K. M., Misra, J.: *Parallel Program Design*, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
3. Cole, M.: Algorithmic Skeletons, In: Hammond, K., Michaelson, G. (eds.): *Research Directions in Parallel Functional Programming*, pp. 289-303, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
4. Galán, L.A., Pareja, C., Peña, R.: Functional Skeletons Generate Process Topologies in Eden, In: *Int. Symp. on Programming Languages, Implementations Logics and Programs PLILP'96*, Aachen, Germany, LNCS, Vol. 1140, pp. 289-303, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
5. Horváth Z., Hernyák Z., Kozsik T., Tejfel M., Ulbert A.: A Data Intensive Application on a Cluster - Parallel Elementwise Processing, In: Kacsuk P., Kranzlmüller D., Neméth Zs., Volkert J. (eds.): *Distributed and Parallel System - Cluster and Grid Computing, Proc. of 4th Austrian-Hungarian Workshop on Distributed and Parallel Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Kluwer International Series in Engineering and Computer Science, Vol. 706, pp. 46-53, Linz, Austria, September 29-October 2, 2002.
6. Horváth Z., Zsók V., Serrarens, P., Plasmeijer, R.: Parallel Elementwise Processable Functions in Concurrent Clean, to appear in *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, Elsevier.
7. Huch, F., Norbistrath, U.: Distributed Programming in Haskell with Ports, *Implementation of Functional Programming Languages, 12th International Workshop, IFL2000*, Aachen, Germany, September 4-7, 2000, LNCS, Vol. 2011, pp. 107-121, Springer 2001, <http://www-i2.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/hutch/distributedHaskell>.
8. Loidl, H.W., Klusik, U., Hammond, K., Loogen, R., Trinder, P.W.: GpH and Eden: Comparing Two Parallel Functional Languages on a Beowulf Cluster, In: Gilmore, S. (ed.): *Trends in Functional Programming*, Vol. 2, pp. 39-52, Intellect, 2001.
9. Kesseler, M.H.G.: *The Implementation of Functional Languages on Parallel Machines with Distributed Memory*, PhD Thesis, Catholic University of Nijmegen, 1996.
10. Rishiyur S. Nikhil, Arvind: *Implicit Parallel Programming in pH*, Morgan Kaufmann, 2001.
11. Serrarens, P.R.: *Communication Issues in Distributed Functional Computing*, PhD Thesis, Catholic University of Nijmegen, 2001.
12. Trinder, P.W., Hammond, K., Loidl, H.W., Peyton Jones, S.J.: Algorithm + Strategy = Parallelism, *Journal of Functional Programming*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 23-60, 1998.
13. Varga Z.: *Clean-CORBA Interface*, Master thesis, University of Eötvös Loránd, Budapest, 2000. (Supervisor: Horváth Z.)